EXHIBIT 18

Privileged and Confidential Subject to FRE 408 DRAFT - March 13, 2019

Summary of Open Business Points

General Issues

- 1. Administrative Claim if 9019 Order is Reversed on Appeal: AHG and Assured believe that if the 9019 Order is reversed on appeal, they should get to keep any Administrative Claim that has accrued through termination.
- 1. 2. Lien Challenge if Bondholder Challenges Transformation Transaction: Term Sheet allows the Government Parties to bring a Lien Challenge in response to Bondholder Litigation if the Government Parties believe it is necessary to do so to defend the litigation. AHG has requested language that this is not applicable to Bondholder Litigation that relates to the Transformation Transaction but not the Bonds.Prior to Entry of 9019 Order: If Lien Challenge is brought prior to 9019 order and is not stayed, bondholders shall have right to defend lien challenge without causing a termination event, provided that they do not seek to exercise any remedies under the Trust Agreement, including the appointment of a receiver.
 - <u>Note</u>: Government Parties believe it is important to maintain the right to bring a Lien Challenge if necessary and that bringing the Lien Challenge in this circumstance does not impact AHG/Assured rights under Definitive RSA to receive the treatment.
- 2. 3. Stipulated Treatment Termination on Failure to Confirm Plan-Confirmation: The Term Sheet currently provides that if the Title III Court denies confirmation of the plan, the Definitive RSA terminates without further consequence as to both parties and bondholders are no longer entitled to Stipulated Treatment/all litigation can resume. The bondholders believe they should still be entitled to the Stipulated Treatment (in that case exchange for the continued stay).
 - Note: Bondholder counsel has expressed a willingness to agree to a limited Stipulated Treatment Termination if court denies confirmation of plan due to bondholder treatment. In other circumstances for denial of confirmation, bondholders take the position that they have no say in the plan, with the Government Parties controlling the entire plan and plan process, and therefore cannot agree to take risk of Stipulated Treatment Termination if the Government Parties cannot propose a confirmable plan.
 - Note: WeGovernment parties have discussed whether or not we can approach this
 issue by providing that the parties need to work in good faith toward plan confirmation
 and if confirmation is denied be required to attempt in good faith to modify the plan and
 resubmit before termination.
 - 4. In this instance, bondholders also take the position that they keep their accrued

 Administrative Claim if Definitive RSA is Terminated Due to Plan Confirmation Being

 Denied: The bondholders believe they should be able to keep the Administrative Claim that has accrued through denial of plan confirmation.
- 3. Full Implementation of Charge: The Government Parties are requiring that the full implementation of the charge (i.e., the "Outside Outside Date") be contingent upon any necessary legislative or regulatory approval.

The bondholders take the position that this requirement undoes the value and purpose of the "Outside Outside Date", as future legislatures or regulators could refuse to issue the legislation or orders necessary to implement the full charge.

5. <u>Full Implementation of Charge</u>: The bondholders have requested some assurance that the Full Charge will actually be authorized early in the process. We have discussed (1) requiring legislation authorizing this to be passed by a date certain, (2) requiring the authorization of the Full Charge to be wrapped into the transformation legislation, or (3) providing that the 9019 Order

Case:17-03283-LTS Doc#:8263-19 Filed:07/25/19 Entered:07/25/19 18:38:15 Desc: Exhibit 18 Page 3 of 5

Privileged and Confidential Subject to FRE 408 DRAFT - March 13, 2019

provide that cash adequate protection payments will be required if the Full Charge is not implemented. that the 9019 order provide for full implementation of the charge on the OOD or, in the alternative, that the 9019 order at least require that payment of the bondholders' administrative claims commence at the transition charge levels on the OOD, and the bondholders' administrative claims increase to the extent the government parties miss any payments to bondholders due to failure to collect or turnover the charge on a timely basis.

The parties have also discussed (1) requiring legislation authorizing this to be passed by a date certain and (2) requiring the authorization of the Full Charge to be wrapped into the transformation legislation

- <u>4.</u> 6. Force Majeure: AHG and Assured have indicated that they will negotiate a force majeure that provides for delay in the event of an unexpected event (e.g. another hurricane) but will not agree to a termination due to a force majeure occurrence.
- <u>5.</u> <u>7. Waiver and Support Fees</u>: Government Parties have indicated that no Tranche B Bonds will be issued on account of relending bonds due to waiver and support fees. This is an open economic issue.
- 6. 8. Taxable Bonds: The issue of what happens if the parties cannot issue tax-exempt debt is still open.

Note: In addition, the parties still need to address the Demand Protections and Securitization Protections as well as the modeling on the collections.

Assured Issues

- Consequence of Assured Individual Termination: If an Individual Termination event occurs as to Assured but the Definitive RSA has not otherwise terminated, then can Assured bring the Monoline Motion or similar relief?
 - <u>Note</u>: Government Parties position is that once a party is bound to the Definitive RSA, they have settled the Monoline Motion or similar relief in the 9019 Order unless the Definitive RSA has terminated. AHG members have agreed to Government Parties position as to their claims.
- 2. Gross-Up of Administrative Claim: If the transaction has not closed by the Outside Date (i.e. June 30, 2020), then Assured has requested that they receive an Administrative Claim in the full amount of all of their policy payments made between May 1, 2019 and closing of the transaction.
 - <u>Note</u>: Government Parties have not agreed to this gross-up. AHG has indicated they
 also may have issues with it (or at least expect increased compensation as well if
 Assured receives the gross-up).
- Covenant on Information Regarding Transformation Process: Assured has requested that we
 provide more and more detailed information on the Transformation Transaction and process.
 Cleary has indicated the P3 Authority is prepared to provide regular updates based on public
 information but believes providing more detailed information or confidential information could
 taint the process.
- 4. <u>Underwriting Costs on New Assured Bonds</u>: Assured has indicated those should be paid by PREPA. Government Parties believe that is a cost to be borne by Assured.

Case:17-03283-LTS Doc#:8263-19 Filed:07/25/19 Entered:07/25/19 18:38:15 Desc: Exhibit 18 Page 4 of 5

Privileged and Confidential Subject to FRE 408 DRAFT - March 13, 2019

5. <u>Payment of Insurance Premium</u>: Assured has indicated it expects to get its insurance premium whether or not it actually wraps new bonds. Government Parties have said no.

<u>Note</u>: In addition, the parties still need to address the Demand Protections and Securitization Protections as well as the modeling on the collections.

Summary report: Litera® Change-Pro for Word 10.3.0.1 Document comparison done on 03/13/2019 7:24:22 PM	
Style name: Moves	
Intelligent Table Comparison: Active	
Original filename: Summary_of_Open_Business_Points.	docx
Modified DMS: dm://KL2/3117158/1	
Changes:	
Add	24
Delete	22
Move From	3
Move To	3
Table Insert	0
Table Delete	0
Table moves to	0
Table moves from	0
Embedded Graphics (Visio, ChemDraw, Images etc.)	0
Embedded Excel	0
Format changes	0
Total Changes:	52